

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/623,665	Applicant(s) WEST ET AL.
	Examiner Erik Kielin	Art Unit 2813

All Participants:

Status of Application: Docketed new case

(1) Erik Kielin.

(3) _____.

(2) Eric Prahl.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 3 December 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
- Video Conference
- Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner called Applicant's Representative on 11/29/04 --leaving a voice mail-- to determine if a Preliminary Amendment had been filed in the instant application, since the parent application (10/280,492) had identical claims 1-35, 1-31 of which were presently being examined, claims 32-35 having been restricted. Applicant's Representative indicated that he would file a Preliminary Amendment if allowed by Examiner. Applicant's Representative submitted a Preliminary Amendment on 12/3/04 amending some claims of presently-being-examined claims 1-31, canceling some of claims 1-31, and adding new claims.